

These references have informed the Multiple Measures online tool and website, www.multiplemeasures.org.au. Many of these are also referenced in the background notes and papers provided via the tool.

References + Bibliography

- Australian Qualifications Framework*. (2013). Canberra: Australian Qualifications Framework Council. Retrieved from: <http://www.aqf.edu.au/aqf/in-detail/2nd-ed-jan-2013/>.
- Bailey, M. (2010). Working at the edges. *Networks, Autumn*(11), 42-45.
- Becher, T. (1994). The significance of disciplinary differences. *Studies in Higher Education*, 19(2), 151-161.
- Bhana, B. (2010). *Designeracademia - Exploring the art of interdisciplinary pedagogy for the twenty-first century*. Paper presented at the CONNECTED 2010 - 2nd International Conference on Design Education, UNSW, Sydney, Australia.
- Biggs, J. (2003). *Teaching for quality learning at university* (2nd ed.). Maidenhead, UK: Open University Press.
- Biggs, J. (2014). Constructive alignment in university teaching. *HERDSA Review of Higher Education*, 1, 5-22.
- Biglan, A. (1973a). The characteristics of subject matter in different academic areas. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 57(3), 195-203.
- Biglan, A. (1973b). Relationships between subject matter characteristics and the structure and output of university departments. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 57(3), 204-213.
- Boix Mansilla, V. (2005). Assessing Student Work at Disciplinary Crossroads. *Change: The Magazine of Higher Learning*, 37, 14-21.
- Boix Mansilla, V. & Dawes Duraising, E. (2007). Targeted assessment of students' interdisciplinary work: An empirically grounded framework proposed. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 78(2), 215-237.
- Boud, D. (2010). Assessment 2020: Seven propositions for assessment reform in higher education. In Australian Learning and Teaching Council (Ed.). Sydney.
- Boud, D. & Falchikov, N. (2006). Aligning assessment with long-term learning. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education* 31(4), 399-413.
- Burgett, B., Hillyard, C., Krabill, R., Leadley, S. & Rosenberg, B. (2011). Teaching Interdisciplinarity. *Pedagogy: Critical Approaches to Teaching Literature, Language, Composition, and Culture*, 11(3), 465-491.
- Corkery, L., Roche, B., Watson, K. & Zehner, R. (2007). *Transforming design studio learning and teaching through real world, interdisciplinary projects*. Paper presented at the ConnectED 2007 International Conference on Design Education, UNSW, Sydney, Australia.
- Cross, N. (2006). *Designerly ways of knowing*. London: Springer.
- Davies, M. & Devlin, M. (2010). Interdisciplinary Higher Education. In M. Davies, M. Devlin, & M. Tight (Eds.), *Interdisciplinary Higher Education: Perspectives and Practicalities* (pp. 3-28). Bingley: Emerald House Publishing.
- de la Harpe, B. & Peterson, F. (2008). *A model for holistic studio assessment in the creative disciplines*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 2009 ATN Assessment Conference, Adelaide, Australia.
- Deci, E. L. & Ryan, R. M. (1985). *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behaviour*. New York: Plenum.
- Dreyfus, H. L., & Dreyfus, S. E. (2005). Peripheral vision: Expertise in real world contexts. *Organization Studies*, 26(5), 779-792.
- Epper, Rhonda Martin. (1999). Applying benchmarking to higher education: Some lessons from experience. *Change*, 31(6), 24-31. doi: 10.2307/40177858
- Garner, S. (2005). Revealing design complexity: Lessons from the Open University. *CoDesign*, 1(4), 267-276.

REFERENCES

- Goel, S. (2010, July 27). Well rounded curriculum – An insight from Biglan’s classification of disciplines. Retrieved from: <https://goelsan.wordpress.com/2010/07/27/biglans-classification-of-disciplines/>
- Healey, M. & Jenkins, A. (2015). Linking discipline-based research with teaching to benefit student learning through engaging students in research and inquiry. Retrieved from: <http://www.mickhealey.co.uk/?wpdmdl=1282>
- Henderson-Smart, Cheryl., Winning, Tracey., Gerzina, Tania., King, Shalinie & Hyde, Sarah. (2006). Benchmarking learning and teaching: developing a method. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 14(2), 143-155. doi: doi:10.1108/09684880610662024
- Herman, G. (2012). Designing contributing student pedagogies to promote students’ intrinsic motivation to learn. *Computer Science Education*, 22(4), 369-388.
- Hickman, R. (2007). (In defence of) whippet-fancying and other vices: Re-evaluating assessment in art and design. In T. Rayment (Ed.), *The problem of assessment in art and design* (pp. 77-87). Bristol: Intellect.
- Hodge, D., Haynes, C., LePore, P., Pasquesi, K. & Hirsh, M. (2008). *From inquiry to discovery: Developing the student as scholar in a networked world*. Paper presented at the Learning Through Enquiry Alliance Inquiry in a Networked World Conference, University of Sheffield. Retrieved from: https://miamioh.edu/files/documents/about-miami/president/reports-speeches/From_Inquiry_to_Discovery.pdf
- Jeong Kim, M., Ryeung Ju, S. & Lee, L. (2015). A cross-cultural and interdisciplinary collaboration in a joint design studio. *International Journal of Art and Design Education*, 34(1), 102-120. doi: 10.1111/jade.12019
- Jonas, W. (2004). A theory of what? In W. Jonas & J. Meyer-Veden (Eds.), *Mind the gap! On knowing and not-knowing in design* (pp. 178–211). Bremen: H.M. Hauschild.
- Katz, I. & Assor, A. (2006). When choice motivates and when it does not. *Educational Psychology Review*, 19(4), 429-442.
- Klein, J.T. (2004). Prospects for Transdisciplinarity. *Futures*, 36, 515-526.
- Klein, J.T. (2005). Integrative learning and interdisciplinary studies. *Peer Review*, 7, 8+. Retrieved from: <http://go.galegroup.com.ezproxy.lib.monash.edu.au/ps/i.do?id=GALE%7CA137915257&v=2.1&u=monash&it=r&p=AONE&sw=w&asid=ed3314a7720a72779d35c95d2a30b6a6>
- Klein, J.T. (2008). Evaluation of interdisciplinary and transdisciplinary research: A literature review. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 35(2S), 116-123.
- Kolb, D. A. (1981). Learning styles and disciplinary differences. In A. Chickering (Ed.), *The Modern American College*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Krukauskas, M. & Ward-Perkins, H. (2014). *Sound Art, Inter-disciplinary Involvement and Community Spaces: from SACS to IICS*. Paper presented at the ArtFutures - Working with Contradictions in Higher Arts Education. Retrieved from: <http://www.elia-artschools.org/images/activiteiten/53/files/artfutures-working-with-contradictions-in-higher-arts-education.pdf>
- Lindblom-Ylännea, S., Trigwell, K., Nevgia, A. & Ashwin, P. (2006). How approaches to teaching are affected by discipline and teaching context. *Studies in Higher Education*, 31(3), 285-298.
- Longbottom, C., Bell, G., Vrcelj, Z., Attard, M., Hough, R. & Carrick, J. (2009). *Project X: The experience of student-led multidisciplinary design courses across 3 faculties at UNSW*. Retrieved from: <http://wikifoundryattachments.com/11lyk9DW-lkJNMgIMYb7Eg413990>
- Mafe, D. & Webb, M. (2009). *Introducing the interdisciplinary: The foundations year and the open studio*. Retrieved from: <http://eprints.usq.edu.au/8730/2/Binder1.pdf>
- Mahy, I. & Zahedi, M. (2010). *When artists and designers inspire collective intelligence practices: Two case studies of collaboration, interdisciplinarity, and innovation projects*. Paper presented at the Design Research Society Conference on Design and Complexity, Montreal.

- Mansilla, V.B. (Jan/Feb 2005). Assessing student work at disciplinary crossroads. *Change*, 37, 14-21.
- Mansilla, V.B. & Duraising, E.D. (2007). Targeted assessment of students' interdisciplinary work: An empirically grounded framework proposed. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 78(2), 215-237. doi: 10.2307/4501203
- McPeck, T. & Morthland, L. (2010). *Collaborative design pedagogy: An examination of the four levels of collaboration*. Paper presented at the Design & Complexity: Design Research Society International Conference, Montreal, Canada.
- Morieson, L., Carlin, D., Clarke, B., Lukas, K. & Wilson, R. (2012). *Thinking about Interdisciplinarity*. Position paper circulated to academic staff at School of Media and Communication. RMIT University, Melbourne.
- Neumann, R. (2001). Disciplinary Differences and University Teaching. *Studies in Higher Education*, 26(2), 135-146.
- Nyström, T., Grace, T., Westbomke, J., Sutter, R. & Törnqvist, T. (2010, November). *The Intercultural Design Camp - A collaborative adventure in developing interdisciplinary pedagogy and "internationalization"*. Paper presented at the 3rd International Conference of Education, Research and Innovation, Madrid, Spain. Retrieved from: <http://liu.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:461163/FULLTEXT01.pdf>
- Levy, P. (2009). *Inquiry-based learning: a conceptual framework*. Centre for Inquiry-based Learning in the Arts and Social Sciences, University of Sheffield. Retrieved from: <http://shef.ac.uk/content/1/c6/09/37/83/CILASS%20IBL%20Framework%20%28Version%204%29.doc>
- Levy, P. & Petrulis, R. (2012). How do first-year university students experience inquiry and research, and what are the implications for the practice of inquiry-based learning? *Studies in Higher Education*, 37(1), 85-101.
- Mansilla, V.B. (2005, Jan/Feb). Assessing student work at disciplinary crossroads. *Change*, 37, 14-21.
- Askland, H. H., Ostwald, M. J. & A. Williams (Eds.), *Assessing Creativity - supporting learning in architecture and design* (pp. 63-80). Sydney: Australian Government Office for Learning and Teaching.
- Park, J-Y. & Son, J-B. (2010) Transitioning toward transdisciplinary learning in a multidisciplinary environment. *International Journal of Pedagogies and Learning*, 6 (1). pp. 82-93.
- Quinlan, A., Corkery, L. & Castle, J. (2004). *Building the Framework for Educational Change through Interdisciplinary Design Learning: Implementing Boyer's Scholarships of Integration and Application*. Paper presented at the AARE National Conference, Melbourne.
- Robertson, J. & Blackler, G. (2006). Students' experiences of learning in a research environment. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 25(3), 215-229.
- Ryan, R. M. & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, 55, 68-78.
- Sadler, D.R. (2009). Transforming holistic assessment and grading into a vehicle for complex learning. In G. Joughlin (Ed.), *Assessment, learning and judgement in higher education* (pp. 1-19). Dordrecht: Springer.
- Sadler, D.R. (2010). Beyond feedback: Developing student capability in complex appraisal. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 35(5), 535-550. doi: 10.1080/02602930903541015
- Sadler, D.R. (2015). Backwards assessment explanations: Implications for teaching and assessment practice. In D. Lebler (Ed.), *Assessment in music education: From policy to practice (Vol. 16)* (pp. 9-19). Switzerland: Springer.
- Stoecker, J. L. (1993). The Biglan classification revisited. *Research in Higher Education*, 34, 451-464.

REFERENCES

- Winters, T. (2011). Facilitating meta-learning in art and design education. *International Journal of Art & Design Education*, 30(1), 90-101.
- Wood, J. & Levy, P. (2015). There are more answers than questions: A literature review of questioning and inquiry-based learning. Retrieved from:
<http://makingdigitalhistory.co.uk/files/2015/07/JW-and-PL-Questioning-and-IBL-Literature-Review-final-July-20151.pdf>
- Zehner, R., Forsyth, G., de la Harpe, B., Peterson, F., Musgrave, E., Neale, D. & Frankham, N. (2010). *Optimising Studio Outcomes: Guidelines for Curriculum Development from the Australian Studio Teaching Project*. Paper presented at the CONNECTED 2010 - 2nd International Conference on Design Education, UNSW, Sydney, Australia.